

shailaja

corrected vide speaking to minutes of the order dated 23.03.2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6238 OF 2021

Satish Subhash Patil] Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra,]

Through the Secretary, School Education]

& Sports Department, Mantralaya,]

Mumbai – 400 032 and others.] Respondents

.....

Mr. Prashant Bhavake, for Petitioner.

Mr. V.M. Mali, A.G.P, for Respondents No.1 to 5 -State.

.....

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.

DATE : 16th MARCH, 2022.

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Bhavake, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Mali, learned A.G.P, who appears by waiving notice for respondents No.1 to 5. There is no need to issue any notice to respondents No.6 and 7 as no relief is claimed against them.

2. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of learned Counsel for the parties present before this Court.

3. We direct respondent No.5 to decide the proposal dated 25th January, 2019 sent by the Management seeking individual approval to the appointment of the petitioner to the post of "*Junior Clerk*" in accordance with law with applicable Government Resolutions, at the earliest and, in any case, within eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.
4. In case, approval is granted, name of the petitioner shall be entered in *Shalarth System* and by allotting *Shalarth I.D*, his salary together with arrears, if any, shall be released to him at the earliest and, in any case, within a period of six weeks from the date of grant of approval, if any.
5. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

[G.A. SANAP, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]