

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO.3057 OF 2021

Nitin Tanaji Jadhav @ Dupade] ... Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra] ... Respondent

...

Mr. Sanjeev Kadam i/b Mr. Ajit D. Hon for the applicant.

...

CORAM : SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.

DATED : 04TH MARCH, 2022.

P.C. :-

1. The second bail application pleads two grounds i.e. passage of time and the claim of parity, pursuant to accused Nos.3 and 4 being released on bail, which came to be rejected by the Sessions Court, Baramati, by order dated 05/07/2021.

2. Both the said grounds fail to impress me since the passage of time cannot be considered as change in the circumstances and as far as the co-accused being released on bail is concerned, when the applicant has approached the Sessions Court with the said plea,

learned Judge by recording that the role attributed to the applicant is that of the kingpin of the crime, rejected his application and denied parity.

3. I do not think this as a fit case to interfere with the said order and consider the same as a change in the circumstance, convincing me to rehear the application once rejected on not being convinced on merits.

4. The application is rejected.

[SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.]