

Diksha Rane

Digitally
signed by
DIKSHA
DINESH
RANE
Date:
2022.10.10
18:56:44
+0530

DIKSHA
DINESH
RANE

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 18113 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION ST. NO. 8276 OF 2019**

MIYASAHAB BABALAL SHAIKH ..APPLICANT
VS.
THE DEPUTY SECRETARY AND DIRECTOR ..RESPONDENT
AND ANR.

Ms. Fauzia T. Bukhari for the applicant/petitioner.
Mr. S. D. Rayrikar, AGP for the respondents/State.

**CORAM : M. S. KARNIK, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 4, 2022.**

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant.
2. Learned AGP for the respondents opposed the application praying for restoration of the writ petition.
3. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the application is allowed. The order dated 18/2/2022 passed by the Registrar (Judicial-I) refusing registration of the writ petition for the failure on the part of the petitioner in removing the office objections is set aside. Objections to be removed within eight (8) weeks.
4. The application is disposed of.

WRIT PETITION ST. NO. 8276 OF 2019

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AGP for the respondents.

6. The order under challenge is passed by the Judge, 8th Labour Court, Mumbai, on 16/3/2018. The application made by the petitioner for difference in the overtime wages, which the petitioner contended that he is entitled to, was rejected by the Labour Court.

7. Arguable questions are raised. Hence **rule**. Rule is made returnable after twelve (12) weeks. Learned AGP waives service of rule on behalf of the respondents.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)