

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1827 OF 2022**

Shashank Purshottam Paranjape	.. Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	.. Respondent

**WITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1828 OF 2022**

Shrikant Purshottam Paranjape	.. Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	.. Respondent

...

Mr. Ashok Mundargi, Sr. Advocate with Niranjana Mundargi i/b Amol Patankar and Vatsal Thakkar for the applicant in ABA 1827/2022.

Mr. Shirish Gupte, Sr. Advocate with Niranjana Mundargi i/b Amol Patankar for the applicant in ABA 1828/22.

Mr. Prashant P. More for the intervenor.

Ms. A. A. Takalkar, APP for the State.

API Avinash Rakshe in ABA 1827/22.

API Sachin Mandole in ABA 1828/22.

CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.

DATED : 7th JULY, 2022

P.C:-

1 The limited grievance made by the respective senior counsel in the two applications is to the effect that the applications are already filed before the Addl. Sessions Judge, Dindoshi Court, but on 1/7/2022, it is simply adjourned to 11/7/2022.

2 It is clear that when the Anticipatory Bail Applications are filed before the Sessions Court or before the High Court, it is imperative for the Court either to grant interim relief or to reject the applications. Long adjournments only on the ground that the say of the prosecution is sought for, is not the permissible mode in terms of the Maharashtra Amendment to Section 438.

3 It is expected that the Addl. Sessions Judge, on 11/7/2022, shall entertain the application or either grant ad-interim relief or reject the application.

4 Applications are disposed off.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)