

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1625 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 5236 OF 2018

Nav Jivan Co-Op. Hsg. Soc. Ltd.

.....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents

Mr. Vikas B. Tapkir for Petitioner.
Mrs. M. P. Thakur, AGP for State.
Mr. A. S. Rao for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
ARIF S. DOCTOR, JJ.**

DATE : 22nd NOVEMBER, 2022.

P.C.:-

1. We have heard the learned advocate for the Applicant and the Non-Applicant.
2. As far as prayer clause (b) is concerned, it is not disputed now the Municipal Corporation has decided the Application of Petitioner for regularisation.
3. As far as prayer clause (c) is concerned, the learned counsel for Corporation submits that it was due to the miscommunication between the Respondent and the advocate. The statement was made bonafidely. We accept the explanation given by the learned advocate for the Respondent and do not intent to proceed further with regard to the prayer clause (c).

4. Civil Application accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

(ARIE S. DOCTOR, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)