

shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.5682 OF 2021**

Basantkumar Ajaykumar Pandey	]	Petitioner
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra, Through the	]	
Secretary, School Education Department,	]	
Mantralaya, Mumbai – 400 032	]	
and others.	]	Respondents

.....

Mr. N.V. Bandiwadekar a/w Mr. Vinayak Kumbhar, for Petitioners.
Mrs. S.S. Bhende, A.G.P, for Respondents – State.

.....

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.**

DATE : 16th MARCH, 2022.

P.C.

1. Heard.
2. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of learned Counsel for the parties.
3. It is not in dispute that appointment of the petitioner as “Junior Clerk” has already received approval of respondent No.3 – The Education Officer. There remains nothing for the Deputy

Director Education to once against re-open the issue of grant of approval or drag his foot on taking decision regarding inclusion of name of such employee in Shalarth system. This Court, in case of **Aparna D/o Vikas Thakur and another Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others, Writ Petition No.3141 of 2021** found it fit to issue directions to the Deputy Director Education for entering the name of that petitioner in Shalarth system when this Court found that approval to the appointment of that petitioner was already granted. Facts of this case are similar.

4. The petition is, therefore, allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c).

5. Grant-in-aid for payment of monthly honorarium and salary be released within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No order.

[G.A. SANAP, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]