

Shri. Anil Deodatta Gaikwad	}	Petitioner
Versus		
The Union of India and Ors.	}	Respondents

Ms. Neeta V. Masurkar with Ms. Nieyaati Masurkar
for the respondents.

**CORAM: DIPANKAR DATTA, CJ &
M. S. KARNIK, J.**

DATE: MARCH 1, 2022

1. The petitioner is admittedly a member of the Central Civil Services. He stands terminated from service following disciplinary proceedings. His review petition against the order of termination is stated to be pending before the respondent no. 3/Chief Postmaster General. By instituting this writ petition, a direction is sought upon the respondents to decide the review petition.

2. In our view, the remedy of the petitioner at this stage lies before the Central Administrative Tribunal (hereafter “the Tribunal”, for short) constituted under the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed without costs.

4. This order shall, however, not preclude the petitioner from approaching the Tribunal, in accordance with law.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)