

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2349 OF 2017

Raju Rama Naik

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

...

Through Jail – None for the petitioner.

Ms. M. H. Mhatre, APP for Respondent/State.

...

**CORAM : S. S. SHINDE &
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 8th JUNE, 2022.

Digitally signed
by
DNYANESHWAR
ASHOK ETHAPE
Date: 2022.06.09
09:17:45 +0530

P.C.:

1. Being aggrieved by the refusal of the release of the petitioner on parole for not furnishing the surety as ordered in the order of release on parole, this petition has been filed by the petitioner.

2. We have carefully perused the order passed by the concerned authority by which the petitioner was ordered to be released on parole. The said order is passed after scrupulously considering the application. The parole was granted on condition to furnish surety. The petitioner failed to furnish surety and therefore, the said order could not be acted upon. In our opinion, there is no illegality committed by the respondent. Hence, no case is made out to entertain this petition. Hence, writ petition stands rejected.

Ethape D.A.

3. Registry shall send copy of this order to the concerned Jail Superintendent, who in turn shall communicate the same of the petitioner.

(MILIND N. JADHAV, J.)

(S. S. SHINDE, J.)