

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

SECOND APPEAL NO. 201 OF 1995

Nandlal Amarchand Shrishrimal	...Appellant
vs.	
Vinayak Maroti Sawant and Ors.	...Respondents

Adv. Mrunal Surana a/w Mr. Shashikant Surana - Advocate for the Appellant
Mr. Pramod Pawar – Advocate for Respondent

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 06th SEPTEMBER, 2022

P. C. :-

1. Learned Advocate for the Respondents submitted that even though Respondent No. 1 – Vinayak Sawant has expired, he has got instructions that decree of the possession is executed.
2. Learned Advocate for the Appellant is unable to make any statement for want of instructions. He has sent letter to the Appellant but it is returned back. Even the Second Appeal stood abated against Respondent No. 2 and stands dismissed against Respondent No. 3.
3. In view of that nothing remains in the Appeal. Hence it is disposed of.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]