

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 605 OF 2021**

Vasudevan Rajgopal Srinivas Achari ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr. Anil Galgale a/w Mr. Jehangir M. Khajotia for the Applicant.

Mr. Y. Y. Dabke, APP for the State.

Mr. Harsh Dedhia i/b Mr. Hiten Venegavkar for the CBI.

.....

CORAM : N.R. BORKAR, J.

DATE : 21 July 2022.

P.C. :-

1. This Petition takes an exception to the order dated 17 June 2021 passed by Additional Sessions Judge Mumbai in special case No. CBI/0100035/2017. By the order impugned, the trial Court directed the present Applicant who is Accused No. 2 before the trial Court, to give specimen signatures.

2. The learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2/CBI on instructions states that pursuant to the order impugned the Investigating Officer has obtained specimen signatures of Applicant and the Investigating Officer has even submitted the report of Hand Writing Expert in that respect to the trial Court.

3. The learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant was not heard before passing the order impugned. However, in view of the subsequent developments, present Application has become infructuous.

4. The Application is dismissed as infructuous.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)