

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2006 OF 2022****IN****CRIMINAL APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 9645 OF 2022**

Dilip Vishnu More

.....Applicant

Vs.

The State Of Maharashtra

.....Respondent

Mr. Prashant V. Nayak for the Applicant.

Mr. Ajay Patil APP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : A. S. GADKARI, J.**DATE : 8th JULY, 2022.****P.C.:-**

This is an Application for condonation of delay of 3 years and 99 days (1193 days) in filing the present Appeal against the conviction.

2 Learned appointed Advocate submitted that, on the date of pronouncement of Judgment and Order the Applicant was taken into custody and therefore he could not engage an Advocate to file Appeal within the period of limitation. He submitted that, after the Applicant sent a letter to the High Court Legal Services Committee, Mumbai he has now been appointed to represent the Applicant and therefore delay has occurred in filing the present Appeal.

3 Perusal of Application would indicate that, sufficient case is

made out for condonation of delay.

4 In view thereof and for the reasons stated in the Application, delay is condoned and Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)

SANJIV
SHARNAPPA
MASHALKAR

Digitally signed
by SANJIV
SHARNAPPA
MASHALKAR
Date:
2022.07.14
17:47:40 +0530