

sg

903.wp2517-21.doc

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2517 OF 2021

Suraj Vitthal Tupare .. Petitioner

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra Through Secretary
School Education And Sports Dept. And Ors. .. Respondents

....

Mr. Prashant Bhavake, for the Petitioner.

Mr. S.B. Kalel, AGP, for Respondent State.

....

**CORAM: SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
G.A. SANAP, JJ.**

DATE : 11 MARCH 2022

P.C:-

Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner and learned AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5. There is no need to issue any notice to Respondent Nos. 6 and 7, as the limited relief claimed in the petition is only against Respondent No.5.

2. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith and heard finally by consent of parties.

3. Respondent No.5 is directed to decide the proposal dated 12 July 2016, sent to him by Respondent Nos. 6 and 7, seeking approval to the appointment of the Petitioner to the post of Shikshan Sevak, in accordance with law, and at the earliest, in any case, within eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

4. We further direct that in case approval to the appointment of the Petitioner is granted, name of the Petitioner shall be entered in Shalarth System by Respondent Nos. 5 and the Petitioner shall be allotted Shalarth ID and paid salary with admissible arrears within a period of four weeks from the date of the approval.

5. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

(G.A. SANAP, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)