

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.5826 OF 2022

Sushilini Kishanrao Rathod & Anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

The City & Industrial Development
Corporation of Maharashtra Ltd
Thr. Chief Controller & Anr.

...Respondents

Mr.Laxman R. Shahapur for the Petitioners.

Mr.Nitin V. Gangal a/w Mr.Ashok D. Kadam and Ms.Pruna
Shukla for Respondent No.1-CIDCO.

Mrs.M.P. Thakur, AGP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
M.G. SEWLIKAR, JJ.

DATE : 6 MAY 2022

P.C:-

. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the
learned counsel for the Respondents.

2. The learned counsel submits that the Petitioners
would make an application/representation to CIDCO, with
regard to the construction carried out by the Petitioners. In case
such an Application is made within one week from today then the
CIDCO may consider the said application/representation and
decide on its own merits.

3. The Petitioners shall not carry out further construction, till the Application is decided

4. In case the Application is not filed by the Petitioners within one week with CIDCO, then the Planning Authority may proceed further.

5. The further steps be taken pursuant to the decision taken by the Planning Authority upon the representation of the Petitioners.

(M.G. SEWLIKAR, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)