

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another ... Respondents

● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

Mr. Ashish Raghuvanshi for Respondent No.2.

● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.

DATED : 4 JULY 2022

P.C. :-

In this Application, on 12 August 2021, statement of learned Counsel for the Applicant and Respondent No.2 that dispute has been resolved was recorded and in light of this joint request, it was directed that investigating officer will proceed with the investigation but the charge-sheet will not be filed without permission of the Court.

2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant states that the settlement talks have failed and the Respondent No.2 is not ready.

3. The learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks leave to

withdraw the Application stating that the Applicant will pursue the remedy for discharge, etc. after the charge-sheet is filed before the appropriate Court.

4. The Application is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn.

5. It is open to the Investigating Agency to file the charge-sheet.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)

KANCHAN
PRASHANT
DHURI
Digitally signed
by KANCHAN
PRASHANT
DHURI
Date:
2022.07.05
14:21:24 +0530