

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SECOND APPEAL NO. 676 OF 2015
ALONGWITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1430 OF 2015
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO. 676 OF 2015

Mrs. Neeta Suhas Dange and Anr. ...Appellants/Applicants
vs.
M/s. Sujata Farms Pvt. Ltd.
(Thr. Vijaya Ramchandra Mhalgi,
(Decd. Thr. LR. Jayant Ramchandra Mhalgi) ...Respondent

Mr. Sarthak Diwan i/by Mr. Ashutosh M. Kulkarni - Advocate for the
Appellants/Applicants
Adv. Sumit Kothari - Advocate for the Respondent

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 02nd AUGUST, 2022

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned advocate for the Appellants/Applicants and learned Advocate for all the Respondents.
2. It is submitted that parties have settled the matter outside the Court. It is also submitted that both the parties have entered into various agreements. There description is given in para no. 7(a) of the present Consent Terms. The copy of the Consent Terms is annexed. The Consent Terms is signed by the both the Appellants and both the Respondents. This Consent Terms is notarized. The Consent terms are taken on record and

marked as 'Annexure-X' for identification. Even though parties are not present, it is submitted that they have executed and notarized the Consent Terms. The Court is not expressing any comments about content of the Consent Terms. In view of the above, Appeal stands disposed of.

3. Interim and Civil Application, if any, stand disposed of.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]