

Digitally
signed by
PURTI
PRASAD
PARAB
Date:
2022.05.10
13:13:37
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5293 OF 2022

Emcure Pharmaceuticals Limited

....Petitioner

V/s.

Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax

Central Circle 2(1), Pune and Ors.

...Respondents

**ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5294 OF 2021
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5691 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5737 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5738 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5739 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5740 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5741 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5742 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5743 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5744 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5745 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5747 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5748 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5749 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5750 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5751 OF 2022
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5752 OF 2022**

ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 5753 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 4862 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 4863 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 4864 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 4866 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 4868 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 4869 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 4872 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 5031 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 5032 OF 2022
 ALONGWITH
 WRIT PETITION NO. 5559 OF 2022

Mr. Govind Gopal Javeri, Mr. J.D. Mistri, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Madhur Agrawal i/b Atul Jasani, Mr. Satish Mody & Ms. Aasifa Khan, Mr. Devendra Jain a/w Ms. Radha Halbe, Mr. Dharan V. Gandhi, Mr. Rahul Hakani i/b Ms. Niyati Mankad Hakani, Ms. Dishya Pandey i/b Mr. Shreyas Shrivastava, Mr. Vivek Sarin and Ms. Dibya Prashant Singh, Mr. Sameer S. Bora a/w Ms. Vidhi K. Pinmiya i/b SPCM Legal for Petitioners-Assessees in respective matters.

Mr. Suresh Kumar and Mr. Akhileshwar Sharma for Respondents-Revenue in respective matters.

CORAM : K.R. SHRIRAM &
 N. R. BORKAR, JJ.
 DATED : 5th MAY, 2022

PC. :

1. The Hon'ble Apex Court in the judgment of *Union of India & Ors. vs. Ashish Agarwal*¹ partially set aside the order and judgment passed by the Hon'ble High Court Judicature at Allahabad in Writ Tax No.524 of 2021

¹ Civil Appeal No. 3005/2022 dated 4th May, 2022.

and other Writ Tax Petitions. The order also covers the judgments passed by various other High Courts including this court in ***Tata Communications Transformation Services Limited V/s. Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax 14(1) & Ors.***²

2. The Hon'ble Apex Court has in paragraph no.10 passed the following directions :

10. In view of the above and for the reasons stated above, the present Appeals are ALLOWED IN PART. The impugned common judgments and orders passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in W.T. No. 524/2021 and other allied tax appeals/petitions, is/are hereby modified and substituted as under:

(i) The impugned section 148 notices issued to the respective assesseees which were issued under unamended section 148 of the IT Act, which were the subject matter of writ petitions before the various respective High Courts shall be deemed to have been issued under section 148A of the IT Act as substituted by the Finance Act, 2021 and construed or treated to be show-cause notices in terms of section 148A(b). The assessing officer shall, within thirty days from today provide to the respective assesseees information and material relied upon by the Revenue, so that the assesseees can reply to the show-cause notices within two weeks thereafter;

(ii) The requirement of conducting any enquiry, if required, with the prior approval of specified authority under section 148A(a) is hereby dispensed with as a one-time measure vis-à-vis those notices which have been issued under section 148 of the unamended Act from 01.04.2021 till date, including those which have been quashed by the High Courts.

Even otherwise as observed hereinabove holding any enquiry with the prior approval of specified authority is not mandatory but it is for the concerned Assessing Officers to hold any enquiry, if required;

2. Writ Petition No.1334 of 2021 dated 29th March, 2022.

(iii) The assessing officers shall thereafter pass orders in terms of section 148A(d) in respect of each of the concerned assessee; Thereafter after following the procedure as required under section 148A may issue notice under section 148 (as substituted);

(iv) All defences which may be available to the assessee including those available under section 149 of the IT Act and all rights and contentions which may be available to the concerned assessee and Revenue under the Finance Act, 2021 and in law shall continue to be available.

3. In view of the above all petitions are disposed.

4. Certainly, since all rights and contentions of the parties are kept open, the assessee may take such steps if they are aggrieved by any order passed by the Assessing Officer.

5. In view of the above, wherever the assessment order has been passed those assessment orders will stand quashed and set aside. So also the consequential orders/notices.

6. Revenue may restart the process as directed by the Hon'ble Apex Court.

(N. R. BORKAR, J.)

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)