

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 5993 OF 2018

Kalim Mobin Manihar	...	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...	Respondents

Ms. Sadhna Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. M. P. Thakur, AGP for Respondent No.1.

Mr. N. R. Bubna, Advocate for Corporation.

Mr. Omar K. Shaikh, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 to 6.

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATED : DECEMBER 1, 2022

P.C.

1. We have heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and respondent Nos.3 to 6.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that unauthorized excess construction has been carried out by respondent Nos.3 to 6. The learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.3 to 6 submits that an application for revised permission is submitted to the Corporation.

3. Mr. Bubna, learned Advocate for Corporation submits that excess construction is carried out by respondent Nos.3 to 6. The Corporation has issued notice and the Corporation would take further action in accordance with law pursuant

to the notice issued. If the application for revised permission is submitted, the same would be considered on its own merits.

4. In view of said statement, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

Digitally
signed by
RAJU
DATTATRAYA
GAIKWAD
Date:
2022.12.03
16:18:39
+0530