

DINESH
SADANAND
SHERLA

Digitally signed by
DINESH SADANAND
SHERLA
Date: 2022.07.12
14:17:08 +0500

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3884 OF 2021

Kamlesh M. Oze and anr.

... Petitioners

V/s.

State of Maharashtra and anr.

... Respondents

Mr. P. L. Gajbhiye a/w. Ms Parvathy Iyer for the Petitioners.
Mrs. S.D. Shinde, APP for the Respondent/State.
Mr. M.D. Pol for the Respondent No.2.

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : 8 JULY 2022.

P.C.

. The learned Counsel for the Petitioners and the Respondent No.2/ Complainant state that the FIR be quashed by consent of the Respondent No.2 /Complainant.

2. The learned APP points out that the FIR not only states about the allegations against the Respondent No.2/Complainant but also states that the bogus and fraudulent notarized documents were produced during the hearing of bail application and the Court was also mislead and therefore, this is not a case where FIR can be quashed by consent of the Respondent No.2/Complainant. We agree with this submission. It is open to the Petitioner, if so advised,

to seek quashing of FIR on merits, which application will be considered on its own merits.

3. Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)