

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

SECOND APPEAL NO. 279 OF 1994

Trimbak Vithoba Mandlik ...Appellant
vs.
Pandit Vithoba Mandlik and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Rahul Motkari i/by Mr. V. Z. Kankaria - Advocate for the Appellant
Ms. Rukmini Khairnar i/by Mr. P. N. Joshi - Advocate for the Respondent
Nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 20th JULY, 2022

P. C. :-

1. Learned Advocate for the Appellant on the basis of oral instructions submitted that the parties have settled the dispute.
2. Learned Advocate for the Respondents unable to confirm this fact for want of instructions.
3. The statement made on behalf of the Appellant is accepted. The Second Appeal is disposed of as not pressed.
4. Parties to bear on their own cost.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]