

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4645 OF 2019

Gauri Sadanand Gaikwad ... **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... **Respondents**

Mr. Vinayak Kumbhar i/b. Mr. Sagar Mane, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Smt. S. S. Bhende, AGP for the Respondents/State.

Ms. Vaishnav Gujarath, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 and
4.

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. S. DOCTOR, JJ.**

DATED : AUGUST 1, 2022

P.C.

1. It is submitted that during pendency of the writ petition, the petitioner was terminated from service. The petitioner approached the School Tribunal. The School Tribunal allowed the appeal. The same was challenged by the Management before the learned Single Judge. The learned Single Judge has confirmed the order with regard to reinstatement and has further directed the proposal to be decided within three months by the Education officer.

2. In light of that, the purpose of the writ petition does not survive.

3. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(A. S. DOCTOR, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)