

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1445 OF 2022

Ishaq Lakkadghat

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr.

..Respondents

Ms. Faiza A. Dhanani i/b. CUE Legal for Petitioner.

Mr. Abufazl Rezwani for Respondent No.2.

Respondent No.2 present in the court.

Mr. J. P. Yagnik, APP for State/Respondent No.1.

**CORAM : S. S. SHINDE AND
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 13th JUNE 2022.

PC :

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith and heard finally with the consent of the parties.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and second respondent jointly submit that parties have amicably settled the dispute.

3. The Petitioner has instituted proceeding before the Family Court for divorce. The said proceeding is converted into proceeding for divorce with mutual consent. The second

respondent who has filed an affidavit is present before this court. She is identified by the counsel representing her. The second respondent states that she has voluntarily entered into settlement and given consent for quashing of F.I.R.

4. As the parties have amicably settled the matter, no fruitful purpose would be served by continuing the C.C.No.1869/PW/2019 arising out of FIR No. 265 of 2019. In this view of the matter, the petition deserves to be allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c) which read thus:-

“b. That, this Hon’ble Court after going into the validity and legality thereof be pleased to quash the Complaint being C.C.No.1869/PW/2019 pending before Metropolitan Magistrate 9th Court at Bandra.”

c. That this Hon’ble Court be pleased to quash the FIR No.265 of 2019 registered with Mahim Police Station and all subsequent proceedings initiated in pursuance of the FIR No.265 of 2019.”

5. The Rule is made absolute in the above terms and Criminal Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MILIND N. JADHAV, J.)

(S. S. SHINDE, J.)