

Shiv

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.397 OF 2017

Ravindra V. Nagargoje & Anr. ... Applicants.
Versus
State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Mahindra B. Deshmukh for the Applicants.

Ms. S. D. Shinde A.P.P for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE &
S.M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 30TH SEPTEMBER 2022

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicants and the learned APP for the respondent-State.
2. By this application, the applicant seeks quashing of the FIR, bearing C. R. No.33 of 2017 registered with Kharghar Police Station, for the alleged offences punishable under sections 323, 324, 326, 354, 380, 504, 509 r/w section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. During the course of arguments, when questioned, learned counsel for the applicants states that the trial has commenced, inasmuch as, charge has been framed, by the trial

Court. Despite charge having been framed, learned counsel for the applicant presses for quashing of the proceedings i.e. aforesaid C. R. No. 33 of 2017 registered with the Kharghar police station.

4. Perused the papers. First and foremost, we are not inclined to entertain this application, under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, inasmuch, as the trial of the applicants has commenced. Apart from the aforesaid, a perusal of the injury certificate shows, that the injured had sustained an injury on his head, which is stated to be a grievous injury.

5. Considering the aforesaid, we are not inclined to entertain this application. Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

6. Needless to state, that the trial Court to decide the case on its own merits, uninfluenced by this order.

S. M. MODAK, J.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.