

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 4000 OF 2022**

Sou. Nisha Prashant Pawar

(Nee: Shakuntala Rajaram Gaikwad) ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Prashant Bhavake, for the Petitioner.

Mr. N. C. Walimbe, AGP, for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 5-
State.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.**

DATE : 5th APRIL, 2022.

P.C. :

Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner and learned
AGP, who appears by waiving notice for Respondent Nos. 1 to
5. There is no need to issue any notice to Respondent Nos. 6
and 7 as no relief is claimed by them.

2 Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally, by
consent.

3 There is already a specific direction issued to the

Education Officer and Deputy Director for granting of benefits of Shalarth Pranali to the Petitioner. This direction has been issued by this Court on 20th December, 2021 in Writ Petition bearing No. 6444 of 2021 (*Nisha Prashant Pawar vs. State of Maharashtra and Others*), which was the case filed by this Petitioner. In spite of the direction, we find that Respondent No. 2 has not allotted Shalarth ID to the Petitioner and the result is that the salary and arrears of salary had remained unpaid to the Petitioner.

4 We, therefore, allow the Petition and direct the Respondent No. 4 to allot Shalarth ID to the Petitioner within within one week from the date of receipt of the copy of this Court. We further direct to Respondent No.5 to take necessary steps for release of salary together with admissible arrears of salary to the Petitioner and ensure that the same shall release to the Petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of including the name of Petitioner in Shalarth system.

5 Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

[G.A. SANAP, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]