

ssk

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 391 OF 2020****IN****CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 120 OF 2013**

Sitaram Dada Sarode

.. Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

.. Respondent

Mr. Pawan Mali, Appointed Advocate for Applicant

Mr. H. J. Dedhia, APP for State

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI &
MILIND N. JADHAV, JJ.****DATE : 20th September, 2022.****P.C.:**

. This is an Application received through jail.

2. Applicant has contended that, without his consent/permission, an Advocate has been appointed to represent him before this Court. He, therefore, prays that, he may be permitted to argue his matter in person.

3. In view of the Order passed in Interim Application No. 1649 of 2019, though we are inclined to permit Appellant to argue the matter, we are not discharging Mr. Mali, learned Advocate who has been appointed by the Legal Aid Committee to represent Appellant, as his assistance for final adjudication of the present Appeal which involves questions of law, is necessary.

4. Application is accordingly partly allowed in the aforesaid terms.

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

[A. S. GADKARI, J.]

SONALI
SATISH
KILAJE

Digitally
signed by
SONALI
SATISH
KILAJE
Date:
2022.09.23
12:49:16
+0530