

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.971 OF 2022
IN
CRIMINJAL APPEAL NO.270 OF 2020

Sanjay Chunnilal Bayas	Applicant
versus	
The State of Maharashtra	Respondent

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.970 OF 2022
IN
CRIMINJAL APPEAL NO.274 OF 2020

Chetan Sanjay Bayas	Applicant
versus	
The State of Maharashtra	Respondent

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.974 OF 2022
IN
CRIMINJAL APPEAL NO.275 OF 2020

Akshay Sanjay Bayas	Applicant
versus	
The State of Maharashtra	Respondent

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.972 OF 2022
IN
CRIMINJAL APPEAL NO.276 OF 2020

Rohit @ Gaurav Dharmsingh Salunke	Applicant
versus	
The State of Maharashtra	Respondent

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.973 OF 2022

MANISH
SURESH
THATTE

Digitally signed by
MANISH SURESH
THATTE
Date: 2022.04.22
10:03:07 +0530

IN
CRIMINJAL APPEAL NO.284 OF 2020

Devidas @ Deva @ Amit Mangalsingh Kachvay	Applicant
versus	
The State of Maharashtra	Respondent

Mr.Samay Pawar i/by Mr.Satyavrat Joshi, Advocate for applicant.
Mr.S.G.Gavand, APP, for State.
Mr.Warik (PSI), U.B.Nagar Police Station, present.

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATE : 12th April 2022

PC :

1. All the interim applications are preferred by the applicants-original appellants with a prayer to allow them to furnish same surety which they had furnished when sentence of imprisonment imposed vide judgment and order dated 29th January 2020 in Sessions Case No.134 of 2012 was suspended with fresh PR bond.
2. The applicants had preferred applications for suspension of sentence and grant of bail during pendency of appeal challenging judgment of conviction. The substantive sentence of imprisonment was suspended and applicants were directed to be released on bail on executing PR bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with sureties in the like amount.
3. Learned counsel for applicants has submitted that on the date of conviction the sentence of imprisonment was suspended for temporary period and the applicants have furnished sureties subsequently and therefore same sureties may be allowed to be continued with fresh PR bond.

4. Considering the factual aspects as above, the applicants are permitted to continue with same sureties furnished by them pursuant to the judgment of conviction with fresh PR bonds. All Interim Applications stand disposed of.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

MST