

Urmila Ingale

URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE
Digitally signed
by URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE
Date:
2022.04.01
17:54:50
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 35 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1086 OF 2020**

Walchand Institute of Technology and anr. ..Petitioners
vs.
Mahesh Yashwant Sathe ..Respondent

**WITH
REVIEW PETITION NO. 36 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1087 OF 2020**

Walchand Institute of Technology and anr. ..Petitioners
vs.
Abhaykumar Manikrao Kalje ..Respondent

**WITH
REVIEW PETITION NO. 39 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1090 OF 2020**

Walchand Institute of Technology and anr. ..Petitioners
vs.
Vijay Maruti Nakate ..Respondent

**WITH
REVIEW PETITION NO. 37 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1088 OF 2020**

Walchand Institute of Technology and anr. ..Petitioners
vs.
Shekhar Apparao Jeurkar ..Respondent

**WITH
REVIEW PETITION NO. 38 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1089 OF 2020**

Walchand Institute of Technology and anr.

..Petitioners

vs.

Surendra Mrugendra Jangam

..Respondent

.....

Mr. Kiran Bapat i/b Mr. S.R. Waghmare, for Petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Pakale i/b Mr. S.M. Katkar, for Respondent.

Mr. Rajendrakumar Rawal, Assistant Professor representing Petitioner-Institute is present.

.....

CORAM : M.S.KARNIK, JJ.

DATE : 01st APRIL, 2022

P.C.:-

1. The parties have tendered the minutes of order dated 01/04/2022. It is one of the contention of the learned Counsel for the review petitioners that they were not heard when the petitions were disposed of. The petitions were disposed of after hearing the learned Counsel for the respondents (original petitioners) as the office note indicated that the review petitioners (original respondents) were duly served with the notice.

2. Be that as it may, learned Counsel for the review petitioners was gracious enough to accept the suggestion of this Court with a view to put an end to this litigation. Learned Counsel for the

respondents did not oppose the suggestion and both parties have fairly proceeded to reach an amicable resolution in terms of the minutes of order. The minutes of order are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The minutes of order are duly signed by Mr. Sandip Waghmare, advocate on record for the review petitioners on instructions of Rajendrakumar Raval- Assistant Professor of the petitioner-Institute. On the instructions of Mr. Mahesh Y. Sathe, the respondent and also on the instructions of the respondents in the connected writ petitions, the minutes of order are signed by Mr. Pakale, learned advocate for the respondents.

3. In this view of the matter, the order dated 11/02/2021 passed in Writ Petition No. 1086 of 2020, Writ Petition No. 1087 of 2020, Writ Petition No. 1090 of 2020, Writ Petition No. 1088 of 2020, Writ Petition No. 1089 of 2020 stands recalled and these writ petitions are restored to file. The review petitions are disposed of.

4. By consent of the parties, as a consequence, in view of the arrangement accepted by the parties as indicated in the minutes of order, writ petitions stand disposed of in terms of the minutes of order.

4. It is expressly made clear that as the writ petitions are not decided on merits, but in view of the concession on behalf of the

respective parties who agreed to accept the arrangement in terms of the minutes of order, needless to mention, the questions of law raised in the writ petitions are kept open.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)