

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LOK ADALAT
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 2967 OF 2006

Malan Murlidhar Darekar & Others.

... Appellants.

Versus

Girish Rupchand Gadia and Another.

... Respondents.

....

Appellant Nos. 1 and 3 are present in person.

Ms. Aishwarya Kondlekar i/b S. S. Vidhyarti Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

....

CORAM : **SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.**
(Head of the Panel)

Smt. M. I. ARLAND, (Member)

And

S.K. DHEKALE, (Member)

DATE : **November 12, 2022.**

P. C. :

1. The dispute is settled between appellant Nos.1, 2, 3 and 5 and Respondent No.2-insurance company. Appellant Nos.1 and 3 are present in person. They state that appellant no.4 has expired and tendered copy of the death certificate. Appellant no.3 is the constituted attorney of appellant Nos.2 and 5. The original power of attorney is annexed to the consent terms. Respondent No.1 is the owner of offending vehicle.

1 of 2

patilsr

SACHIN
RAMCHANDRA
PATIL

Digitally signed
by SACHIN
RAMCHANDRA
PATIL
Date: 2022.11.15
19:04:25 +0530

2. The parties have tendered consent terms. The same are taken on record and marked "X" for identification. Appellant Nos.1 and 3 are present in person and represent the interest of appellant Nos.2 and 5, being the members of same family. They admit the contents of consent terms and have affixed their signatures to the consent terms.

3. The appeal is disposed of in terms of the consent terms. Decree be drawn up accordingly.

4. In view of the disposal of appeal, pending civil applications are rendered infructuous and the same are accordingly disposed of.

[S.K. Dhekale]

[Smt. M.I. Arland]

[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]