

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LOK ADALAT
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 417 OF 2009

Subash Balwant Metkar.

... Appellant.

Versus

Sanjay Shantilal Racca and Another.

... Respondents.

....

Mr. Sunil Mahainkar Advocate for the Appellant.

Ms. Dnyanada Avhad, Assistant Manager for the Oriental Insurance Co.,
Ltd. is present.

....

CORAM : **SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.**
(Head of the Panel)

Smt. M. I. ARLAND, (Member)

And

S.K. DHEKALE, (Member)

DATE : **November 12, 2022.**

P. C.:

1. The dispute is settled between the appellant and Respondent no.3–Insurance company. The appellant was original claimant whereas Respondent No.1 was the driver of offending vehicle and Respondent no.2 was the owner of vehicle. The dispute is essentially between the claimant and the insurance company.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant and the Representative of

1 of 2

patilsr

SACHIN
RAMCHANDRA
PATIL

Digitally signed
by SACHIN
RAMCHANDRA
PATIL
Date: 2022.11.15
19:04:25 +0530

Respondent No.3-company have tendered the consent terms. The consent terms are signed by the Applicant-claimant and Respondent no.3 insurance company as well as by their respective counsel. The identity card of appellant is seen and returned back. He admits the contents of consent terms. In that view of the matter, the consent terms are taken on record and marked "X" for identification

3. The appeal is disposed of in terms of consent terms. Decree be drawn up accordingly.

4. In view of the disposal of appeal, applications taken out in this appeal are rendered infructuous and the same are accordingly disposed of.

[S.K. Dhekale]

[Smt. M.I. Arland]

[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]