

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.4725 OF 2018

Pradeep Shankar Patil & Ors.	}..Petitioners
V/s.	
Security Guards Board for	}
Greater Mumbai and Thane	}
District & Ors.	}..Respondents

Ms. Sonali Humane i/by Ms. Ranjana Todankar for the petitioners.

Ms. Pavitra Manesh i/by Mr. Meelan S. Topkar for respondent no.1.

Ms. M. S. Yasmee Sabir i/by Link Legal India Law Services for respondent no.2.

Mr. M. M. Pabale, AGP for respondent no.4/State.

**CORAM: DIPANKAR DATTA, CJ. &
MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.**

DATE: SEPTEMBER 20, 2022

P.C.:

1. This writ petition has been instituted by the petitioners seeking, *inter alia*, the following reliefs: -

“(a) This Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the Respondent No. 1 to register the Petitioners as Security guards and to allot them to the Respondent No. 2 establishment.

(b) This Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction directing

the Respondent No. 1 to initiate action against the Respondent No. 2 as per the provisions of clause 42 of the Security Guards Scheme, 2002.

(c) This Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction directing the Respondent No. 2 to get itself register with the Respondent no. 1 Board."

2. We heard learned advocates for the parties.

3. A coordinate Bench of this Court by its order dated 8th February 2017 passed in Writ Petition No. 2939 of 2016 (**Pune District Suraksha Rakshak and General Kamgar Union vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.**) has laid down guidelines for expediting decisions on the applications made for registration of security guards.

4. We dispose of this writ petition with a direction upon the respondent no. 1 to consider the applications submitted by the petitioners on their own merits, in accordance with law and in the light of the directions made in **Pune District Suraksha Rakshak and General Kamgar Union** (supra) without any delay.

5. All contentions on merits are left open.

6. If in any particular case, the application of a security guard is not granted, the respondent no. 1 shall pass a reasoned order and communicate the same to the applicant.

7. No costs.

Digitally
signed by
ATUL
GANESH
KULKARNI
Date:
2022.09.21
17:48:57
+0530

(MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)