

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO.994 OF 2021**

Dipti Pramod Patankar .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

...

Ms.Pooja Sejpai with Ms.Akshata B. Desai i/b Mr.Nitin Sejpai
for the Applicant.

Ms.Veera Shinde, A.P.P. for the State/Respondent.

Mr.Bhagwan Patil, PSI attached to Navghar Police Station,
Bhayander (E), present.

...

CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.

DATED : 13th JULY, 2022

P.C:-

1. The learned A.P.P. has placed on record a report from the Additional Public Prosecutor, Thane, whereby it is informed that the prosecution has cited 33 witnesses, but has decided to base it's case on the evidence of 18 witnesses, out of which 3 witnesses have already been examined and rest of the witnesses are to be examined. Further, the C.A.report is also awaited. It is further communicated that the witnesses shall be examined within a period of six months.

Accepting the said statement, a direction is issued to the learned District and Sessions Judge to conclude the trial expeditiously and in any case, within a period of six months, by fixing it on day-to-day basis.

2. Upon such direction being issued, the learned counsel appearing for the applicant, seeks withdrawal of the application.

3. Permission granted. The application stands disposed off as withdrawn, with liberty to file a fresh application in case the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)