

SALGAONKAR  
M M

Digitally signed by  
SALGAONKAR M  
M  
Date: 2022.03.31  
16:39:46 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**REVIEW PETITION NO. 02 OF 2022**  
**IN**  
**MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO.252 OF 2021**  
**AND**  
**MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO.119 OF 2021**

Vineet Krishankumar Chawla .. Petitioner

Versus

Shilp Vineet Chawla .. Respondent

...

Mr.Naveen Kumar Sharma for the Petitioner.

...

**CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.**  
**DATED : 29<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2022**

**P.C:-**

1. By the present application, the review of the order dated 22/12/2021 is sought by which the Miscellaneous Civil Application filed by the wife is partly allowed and the proceedings came to be transferred to the Family Court at Thane.

The grounds on which the review of the said order is sought, is an attempt to demonstrate that the wife was, at one point of time, residing in Nagpur and, thereafter, for a

considerable period of time, she was residing in Pune. That may be a fact, but when the parties had argued the application before me on 22/12/2021, it was argued that the wife moved to Nagpur because her maternal aunt offered her shelter there and, thereafter, from December 2019, she is residing at Thane and the reason for choosing Thane as a place of her residence is that her parents are residing in Thane. I have, therefore, specifically recorded that the wife alongwith 7 years' old child is presently residing in Thane and the proceedings came to be transferred to the Family Court at Thane.

2. Pertinent to note that the husband was given the facility of making use of technology i.e. video conferencing and his exemption, except when it is warranted by the Family Court at Thane, was directed. In the wake of the above, since there is no error apparent on the face of the record, petition deserves to be dismissed and it is accordingly dismissed.

**( SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)**