

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2464 OF 2022

Dirgh Diamond Pvt. Ltd., .. Petitioner.
v/s.
Union of India .. Respondent.

Mr. Brijesh Pathak, for the Petitioner.
Mr. J. B. Mishra, for the Respondent.

SMITA
RAJNIKANT
JOSHI
Digitally signed
by SMITA
RAJNIKANT
JOSHI
Date:
2022.03.29
10:46:22
+0530

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
VINAY JOSHI, JJ.**
DATED : 28th MARCH, 2022.

PC:-

Amongst the other contentions, one of the contention of learned Counsel for the Petitioner is that, the application given by the Petitioner for the release goods is not considered til today, though the said application is made on 21st February, 2022. The learned Counsel relies upon the circular issued by the authority.

2 Mr.Mishra, learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent submits that the application of the Petitioner does not state that the said application is made for the provisional release of the seized goods.

3 Considering the fact that the application filed by the Petitioner for release of seized goods (page 55) is pending with the authority, the authority shall consider the said application for provisional release of the seized goods on its own merits and take decision upon it preferably within three weeks. All contentions of the Petitioner are kept open.

4 In view of the above, Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(VINAY JOSHI,J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA,J.)