

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BAIL APPLICATION NO.670 OF 2022

Kiran Nivrutti Bendkoli

...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. Ranjit Jadhav, for the Applicant

Mr. A.A. Palkar, APP, for the State.

VISHAL
SUBHASH
PAREKAR

Digitally signed by
VISHAL SUBHASH
PAREKAR

Date: 2022.06.27
18:22:54 +0530

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : JUNE 27, 2022

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that having regard to the nature of the case, the applicant may be permitted to withdraw the application with a direction to the Court of Session to conclude the trial within a reasonable period. The learned counsel seeks liberty to move for bail, in the event the trial is not completed within the time which may be stipulated by this Court.

3. I have perused the report under section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and the documents annexed with it.

4. Two circumstances are principally arrayed against the applicant. First, the discovery under section 27 of the Evidence Act. Second, an extra judicial confession allegedly made by the applicant

before his brother. The deceased was the grandfather of the applicant.

5. In the backdrop of the aforesaid peculiar circumstances, I deem it appropriate to request the learned Sessions Judge to make an endeavour to complete the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight months from the date of communication of this order.

6. The application stands disposed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to apply for bail in the event the trial is not completed within the said period of eight months.

7. This Court has not entered into the merits of the matter and the learned Sessions Judge shall decide the case uninfluenced by any of the observations made hereinabove.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)