

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.154 OF 2010

Kirmay Dineshchandra Barman Appellant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Mr. Kunal Pednekar, Advocate i/b. Aniket Vagal, for the Appellant.

Smt. Veera Shinde, APP for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM :SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 2nd AUGUST, 2022**

P.C. :

1. Learned Counsel Shri Kunal Pednekar holding for Advocate Shri Aniket Vagal states that the appellant who is original accused No.2 in Sessions Case No.430/2008 on the file of the Additional Sessions Judge, Greater Bombay has already undergone the entire sentence of five years in the year 2012 itself and the appellant does not want to prosecute this appeal.

2. In view of this statement, Criminal Appeal No.154/2010 is disposed of as according to learned counsel

for the appellant it has become infructuous. This appeal be separated from other connected appeals.

3. The other connected appeals Nos.736/2009, 988/2009 and 966/2010 be listed on board in due course.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

Deshmane (PS)