

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. (ST) NO. 7971 OF 2022
IN

F.A. NO. 753 OF 2018

WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 8534 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. (ST) NO. 16917 OF 2022
WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 8754 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. (ST) NO. 8757 FO 2022
WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 8789 OF 2022
WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 12329 OF 2022
WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 13486 OF 2022
WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 13489 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. NO. 16633 OF 2022
IN

F.A. NO. 452 OF 2016

WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 19139 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. (ST) NO. 19670 OF 2022
IN

F.A. NO. 148 OF 2021

WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 19676 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. (ST) NO. 19678 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. (ST) NO. 19677 OF 2022
WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 20367 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. (ST) NO. 20368 OF 2022
WITH

F.A. (ST) NO. 20544 OF 2022
WITH

I.A. (ST) NO. 20546 OF 2022

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's order
--	--------------------------

CORAM : H.M. BHOSALE
REGISTRAR (JUDL-II)

Date : 30/11/2022

Adv. Pawant Tiwari i/b Adv. H. R. Pawar for
Appellant in I.A. St. No. 7971 of 2022 in F.A.
No. 753 of 2018

Adv. Pramila Prajapati i/b Adv. Omprakash Pandey
for Appellant in F.A. St. No. 8534 of 2022 with I.A.
St. No. 16917 of 2022

Adv. Urmila Palekar i/b Adv. Dushyant Pagare for
Appellant in F.A. St. No. 8789 of 2022

Adv. Saurabh Desai i/b Apoorv Vijay Singh Adv. for
Appellant in F.A. St. No. 12329 of 2022

Adv. Aniket Malu i/b Akshay Petkar Adv. for
Appellant in I.A. No. 16633 of 2022 in F.A. No. 452
of 2016

None present in rest of the matters.

The above numbered Interim Application
(St.) / First Appeal (ST) are listed on the board of
Registrar third time. Already four weeks time has
been granted, however, despite granting four
weeks time, the office objections are not
removed.

Here reference to Chapter V of the
Bombay High Court, Appellate Side Rules, 1960

..3/-

[For short "Rules"] may be conveniently made. It states about Procedure after presentation, Removal of office objections etc.

In view of provisions under Rule 3 (ii), the Advocates / Parties are expected to remove the office objections within 14 days from the date of notification.

Rule (5) further provides that, if the office objections are not removed as provided under Rule 3, the office shall place the matter before the Registrar, who shall refuse the registration of all such matters.

The prescribed time to remove the office objections provided under Rules 1960 has been expired long back. Thereafter also 04 weeks time was granted, but all in vain. The matter is lying ideally without any progress due to non removal of office objections. However, instead of passing order of refusal of registration or dismissal outrightly, it would be just and proper to give one opportunity to remove the office objections.

In turn, two weeks time is granted with directions to remove the office objections without fail. On failure, registration would stand refused / First Appeal would stand dismissed without further reference to the Court of Registrar. No further extension will be granted.

sd/-
REGISTRAR (JUDL-II)