

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO.314 OF 2022

Darasing Arjun Sukale .. Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

...

Mr.Ganesh Gupta for the Applicant.
Mr.S.V.Gavand, A.P.P. for the State/Respondent.

...

CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.
DATED : 11th OCTOBER, 2022

P.C:-

1. This is a second bail application, the first being withdrawn on 27/11/2020. On re-hearing the counsel and re-appreciating the material in the charge-sheet, I reached the same conclusion to the effect that there is sufficient material, *prima facie*, indicating the guilt of the applicant, as the panchnama clearly reveal that apart from the printer, the original currency notes with the identical numbers of the counterfeit notes, which were recovered from the co-accused, are also seized. Apart from this, the incriminating material in part of the counterfeit notes, being printed on the papers, are also recovered.

I am not inclined to entertain the application, despite the fact of long incarceration of the applicant, since the applicant

is facing charge under Section 489(D), which is punishable with imprisonment for life or with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to ten years, and shall also be liable to fine.

2. In the wake of the above, the application is rejected.

3. Let the concerned court make an endeavour to conclude the trial, as expeditiously as possible.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)