

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 333 OF 2022
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 603 OF 2018**

Wilson John Salvador Pinto and Ors. .. Applicants.

Versus

State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

.....

Ms.Rashmi M. Gawade, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr.Arfan Sait, APP for the Respondent – State.

.....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATED : FEBRUARY 4, 2022.

P.C. :

Learned advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicants are not in a position to furnish surety in accordance with order passed by this Court on 19th March, 2018. However, the present application was preferred under the impression that the applicants are required to comply the order passed by the trial Court directing them to execute bond while acquitting the accused, and, subsequent order passed by this Court directing them to furnish surety.

Digitally
signed by
RAJESHRI
PRAKASH
AHER
Date:
2022.02.08
11:24:14
+0530

2

It is submitted that this application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to prefer fresh application of bail seeking

modification of order dated 19th March, 2018, in Criminal Application No.5 of 2018.

3 In view of the submissions, this application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to prefer application for modification of order dated 19th March, 2018. At the moment, time to execute surety, is extended by four weeks.

4 Interim Application No.333 of 2022, stands disposed of accordingly.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)