

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 94 OF 2022

Adv. Inderpal Singh Balbir Singh Purewal & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 146 OF 2022**

Mrs. Fahmida Khan Intervenor.

In the matter between

Adv. Inderpal Singh Balbir Singh Purewal & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Mr. Karansingh B. Rajput for Applicants.
Mr. Ashraf Ahmed Shaikh for Intervenor.
Mrs. J. S. Lohokare, APP for State/Respondent.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 14th JANUARY, 2022
(through Video Conferencing)

P.C. :

1. At the outset, learned APP makes a statement, on instructions, that the investigating agency does not want to arrest the applicants. It would be sufficient if they co-operate with the

investigation.

2. Learned counsel for the Intervenor makes a submission that, all the offences are bailable and, therefore, anticipatory bail application itself is not maintainable.

3. I have recorded those two statements. Considering these statements, learned counsel for the applicants does not press this application and seeks withdrawal of this application. He also makes a statement that the applicants will co-operate with the investigation.

4. In this view of the matter, the application is allowed to be withdrawn and is disposed of as such.

5. In view of disposal of anticipatory bail application, the Interim application does not survive and it is also disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)