

shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.3389 OF 2022**

Priyanka Shivaji Mali	]	Petitioner
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra	]	
(Through the Secretary School	]	
Education Department, Mantralaya,	]	
Mumbai – 23 and others.	]	Respondents

.....

Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, for Petitioner.

Ms. P.N. Diwan, A.G.P for Respondents No.1 and 2 – State.

.....

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.**

DATE : 28th MARCH, 2022.

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Diwan, learned A.G.P, for respondents No.1 and 2-State. There is no need to issue notice to respondents No.3 and 4 as the relief sought against them is already granted.

2. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of learned Counsel for the parties present before the Court.

3. Learned Counsel has tendered copy of the proposal dated 7th March, 2022 seeking approval to the appointment of the petitioner as “Shikshan Sevak”. It is taken on record and marked “A” for identification.

4. The petition is partly allowed. Respondent No.2 is directed to decide the proposal submitted by respondents No.3 seeking approval to the appointment of the petitioner as “Shikshan Sevak”, in accordance with law, at the earliest and in any case, within eight weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

5. In case approval is granted, name of the petitioner shall be entered in Shalarth System and by allotting to the petitioner Shalarth I.D., her salary together with arrears, if any, shall be released to her at the earliest and in any case, within a period of six weeks from the date of grant of approval, if any.

6. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

[G.A. SANAP, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]