

Collin Augustine Martis ...Applicant
V/s.
State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Chaitanya Malgaonkar i/b Melwyn Pereira for the Applicant.
Mr. A.V. Saigaonkar for Respondent No. 3.
Mr. Y.M. Nakhwa, APP for the State.

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : 22 June 2022.

P.C. :

The learned Counsel for the Applicant states that the Application was filed on the premise that the FIR is to be quashed with the consent of Respondent No. 3 Complainant, however, Respondent No. 3 Complainant is not ready and the Application will be filed for seeking quashing of the FIR/charge sheet on merits.

2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks leave to withdraw the Application to file a fresh Application.

3. Application is disposed of as withdrawn as above.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)