

shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.3143 OF 2022**

Seema Sanjay Patil	]	Petitioner
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra, Through	]	
The Secretary, School Education Department	]	
Mantralaya, Mumbai – 400 032 and others.	]	Respondents

.....

Mr. S.A. Rajeshirke, for Petitioner.

Ms. P.N. Diwan, A.G.P, for Respondents No.1 and 2 – State.

.....

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.**

DATE : 23rd MARCH, 2022.

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Rajeshirke, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Diwan, learned A.G.P, for respondents No.1 and 2 - State. There is no need to issue notice to respondents No.3 and 4 as no relief is claimed against them.

2. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of the learned Counsel for the parties present before the Court.

3. Respondent No.2 is directed to decide the proposal dated 13th September, 2019 submitted to him by respondents No.3 and 4

seeking approval to upgradation of the petitioner from the post of “Part Time Assistant Teacher” to the post of “Full Time Assistant Teacher” with effect from 1st January, 2019 in respondent No.4 – School, in accordance with law and, in any case, within eight weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

4. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

[G.A. SANAP, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]