

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.9771 OF 2021

Tukaram Sahu Kamble (Deceased)  
Through : Legal Heirs ..... Petitioners

Vs.

Collector and Dy. Director for  
Rehabilitation (Land Acquisition) Ors. .... Respondents

Mr. P. D. Dalvi for the Petitioners  
Smt. A. A. Purav, AGP for the State

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &  
VINAY JOSHI, JJ.**

**DATED : APRIL 8, 2022**

**P.C.**

1 We have heard learned Counsel for the Petitioners and the learned AGP.

2 The Petitioners seek directions against the Respondents to mutate the lands as per the allotment order dated 7<sup>th</sup> November 1988 (Exhibit-A).

3 The learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioners were allotted the land in question under the allotment order dated 7<sup>th</sup> November 1988 and were also

placed in possession on the basis of the Kabje Pavati Panchnama dated 7<sup>th</sup> November 1988. However, the names have not been mutated. The Additional Collector also directed the Tahasildar to mutate the names of the Petitioners way back in the year 2018. Yet the names of the Petitioners are not mutated.

4 The Irrigation Department and the Revenue Office are not towing the same line. Be that as it may, the Petitioners have produced the documents on record.

5 The Petitioners shall file their Application with the concerned Tahasildar for mutating the names of the Petitioners along with a copy of the order passed by the Dy. Collector and Kabje Pavati Panchnama.

6 The Tahasildar shall consider the same after hearing the Petitioners and Resettlement Officer and take decision, preferably within six months from the date of the Petitioners making an Application.

7 Naturally, the Revenue Officer is required to consider all the documents placed before him and the orders passed earlier.

8      The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

(VINAY JOSHI, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)