

shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.2998 OF 2022**

Somnath Narayan Kanase	]	Petitioner
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra,	]	
Through the Secretary, School Education]		
& Sports Department, Mantralaya,	]	
Mumbai – 400 032 and others.	]	Respondents.

.....

Mr. Prashant Bhavake, for Petitioner.

Mr. V.M. Mali, A.G.P, for Respondents No.1 to 5 – State.

.....

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.**

DATE : 16th MARCH, 2022.

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Bhavake, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Mali, learned A.G.P, who appears by waiving notice for respondents No.1 to 5. There is no need to issue notice to respondents No.6 and 7 as no relief is claimed against them.

2. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. By consent of learned Counsel for the parties, the petition is taken up for final hearing.

3. After filing of this petition, appointment of the petitioner as “Junior Clerk” has been approved by the Education Officer and, therefore, purpose of the petition has been served by grant of such approval. Copy of the approval order is produced before this Court. It is taken on record and marked “A” for identification.

4. The petition is, therefore, disposed of having been served the purpose.

5. We further direct respondents No.1 to 5 to take steps for allotting *Shalarth I.D* to the petitioner and ensure that salary of the petitioner is released within four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

6. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

[G.A. SANAP, J.]

[SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.]