

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION (ST) NO. 11472 OF 2010**

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 142 OF 2013

Dange Masji and others ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

AND

REVIEW PETITION (ST) NO. 15565 OF 2007

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1536 OF 2008

Shaukat Kamrudding Dange and others ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

.....

Mr. Sushil Inamdar for the Petitioners.

Mrs. S.S. Bhende, AGP for the State.

Mr. R.S. Khadapkar for Respondent Nos.3 and 6.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.**

DATED : 5 AUGUST 2022

P.C. :-

Delay is condoned and the Review Petitions are taken for consideration forthwith.

2. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

3. The Petitioners by these two Review Petitions seek to review order dated 14 May 2007 in Writ Petition (St) No.11528 of 2007 passed by the Division Bench (Coram : D.G. Karnik and A.A. Sayed., JJ.). Since both the learned Judges are not available, the matter is placed before us as a special bench.

4. We have perused the order under review and the memo of the Review Petitions. In the memo, the grounds taken are based on the merits of the order passed. Perusal of the order under review shows that contentions of the parties were recorded, and a detailed order was passed. If the parties were aggrieved by the same, they could have challenged the same. No ground is made out to exercise review jurisdiction. Both the Review Petitions are rejected.

5. Assuming the delay is condoned, both the Applications are disposed of.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)