

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.1032 OF 2016

M/s.Siddhivinayak Enterprises Pvt. Ltd.

And Ors.

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Anr.

.. Respondents

.....

Mr.Surel S. Shah, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Ms.P.N. Dabholkar, APP for the Respondent – State.

Mr.Saurabh Kshirsagar i/b. Mr.Hiten S. Venegaonkar, Spl. P.P., for
Respondent No.2-CBI-BSFC.

.....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATED : FEBRUARY 23, 2022.

P.C. :

The petitioners in this petition have challenged order dated 23rd October, 2015, passed by Special Judge, Solapur, below Exhibit-131 in Special Case No.13 of 2012.

2 The said order was passed in the application preferred by the petitioners seeking discharge from the proceedings. Learned counsel for respondent no.2, on instructions, submits that charge was framed against the accused and trial had proceeded. The prosecution has examined 33 witnesses.

3 In view of the statement as stated hereinabove, the prayer for discharge cannot be granted at this stage. Petition is dismissed and disposed off.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)