

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1952 OF 2022

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2666 OF 2021

Shubham Sunil Pore ... **Applicant**

In the Matter Between :

Shubham Sunil Pore ... **Petitioner**

Versus

The Union of India & Ors. ... **Respondents**

Mr. Vishwanath S. Talkute, Advocate for the Applicant/
Original Petitioner

Adv. S. M. Seegarla a/w. Adv. Swapnil Shikhare and Adv.
Shalaka Chamboowala i/b. RMG Law Associates, Advocate
for the Respondent No.2.

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
VINAY JOSHI, JJ.**

DATED : APRIL 6, 2022

P.C.

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the amendment is necessitated because the subsequent communication canceling the subject location has been received. We have heard the learned Advocate for the non-applicant also.

2. Writ petition is not admitted. Writ petition is at the nascent stage. In case the amendment is allowed, the non-applicant will have every opportunity to controvert the averments sought to be introduced by amendment.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the interim application for amendment is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

(VINAY JOSHI, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)