

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 89 OF 2020

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 11013 OF 2016

Rizwan Rafiulla Attar ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Archana P. Gaikwad, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. V. M. Mali, AGP for the Respondents/State.

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATED : SEPTEMBER 29, 2022

P.C.

1. The learned Advocate for petitioner submits that this Court had directed the Education Officer to decide the issue of seniority and the representation of the petitioner within six weeks. The same is not yet decided.
2. The learned AGP placed on record the order dated 30th July 2021. It is submitted that the Education Officer has decided the representation of the petitioner.
3. In view of that, though belated, the contempt stands purged. The contempt petition is disposed of.
4. In case, the petitioner's grievance survives, then the petitioner may take appropriate steps by filing appropriate proceedings.

(R. N. LADDHA, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)