

Santosh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

PIL WRIT PETITION NO.5/2022

PRASAD KAMAT

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 6 ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

Mr.Vibhav R. Amonkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

**Mr. D. Pangam, Advocate General with Mr.Deep Shirodkar,
Additional Government Advocate for Respondents No.1, 2 & 3.**

Mr. Vinoj Daniel, Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 7.

**CORAM: M. S. SONAK &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATED: 14th June 2022

P.C.:

1. Learned Advocate General makes a statement that the work on the road which is subject-matter of this Petition, is completed by Respondents No.4 to 7.

2. Mr. V. Daniel, learned Counsel for Respondents No. 4 to 7, on instructions, states that the work is completed in all respects.

3. Mr. Vibhav Amonkar, on instructions, states that even the Petitioner has verified that the work is completed.

4. Mr. Amonkar again on instructions states that since the work is completed, the Petitioner would not like to pursue this Petition any further.

5. Accordingly, by accepting the above statement, we dispose of the Petition.

R. N. LADDHA, J.

M. S. SONAK, J.