

Esha

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA**

**WRIT PETITION NO.854 OF 2018**

**SUDHAKAR VENKU TARI AND 3 ORS., ... PETITIONERS**

**Versus**

**MADHO RAMA TARI (DEC) THR. LRS.  
AND 21 ORS., ... RESPONDENTS**

Mr. Jagannath J. Mulgaonkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Ms. S. Shaikh, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 6(a) to 6(e) and 7.

Mr. Vibhav R. Amonkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1(i) to 1(iv), 2(a), 2(f), 2(g), 10, 13(a) and 14.

**CORAM:- G. S. KULKARNI, J.**

**DATED :- 12th October, 2022**

P.C.

It is informed by the learned Counsel for the petitioners that Regular Civil Appeal No. 271/2010, on which the impugned order has been passed itself has been dismissed for want of prosecution and as such, the present Petition has become infructuous.

2. Petition is disposed of as infructuous. No costs.

3. However, it is clarified that the petitioners shall have liberty to revive the Petition, in the event, in future, a situation

arises that the issue in the present proceedings is required to be re-agitated.

**G. S. KULKARNI, J.**

VAIGANKAR  
ESHA SAINATH

Digitally signed by  
VAIGANKAR ESHA SAINATH  
Date: 2022.10.12 18:39:17  
+05'30'