

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.256 OF 2022

JOHN DSOUZA

...PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF GOA, THR. THE CHIEF ...RESPONDENTS
SECRETARY AND 5 ORS

Ms. V. D'Silva, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. D.J. Pangam, Advocate General with Mr. Suhas Parab, Additional
Government Advocate for the Respondent- State.

**CORAM: M.S. SONAK &
R.N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATED: 5th July, 2022

P.C:

Heard Ms. V. D'Silva, learned Counsel for the petitioner and
Mr. D.J. Pangam, learned Advocate General who appears with Mr. Suhas
Parab, Additional Government Advocate for the Respondent- State.

2. Considering the relief that we proposed to grant in this petition,
notice to the remaining respondents will not be necessary.

3. The petitioner has prayed for an appropriate writ to quash the
excise license and the subsequent renewals to respondents No.5 and 6. To
consider such a prayer, notice will be necessary to respondents No.5 and 6.

4. The petitioner has further also prayed for an alternate relief to direct the respondent No. 1 to 4 to hear and decide the petitioner's complaint in the legal notice dated 21/06/2021 expeditiously.

5. The learned Advocate General states that the competent authority will look into the petitioner's complaint and dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible and in any case within four weeks from today. The competent authority, in the peculiar circumstances of the present case, will afford an opportunity of hearing to both the petitioner as well as respondents No.5 or 6.

6. By accepting the above statement and directing the competent authority to act accordingly we dispose of this petition. All contentions of all the parties are expressly left open.

7. All concerned to act on the basis of an authenticated copy of this order.

R.N. LADDHA, J.

M.S. SONAK, J.

MEENA
VISHAL
BHQIR

Digitally signed by
MEENA
Date: 2022.07.05
11:12:17 +05'30'